

# JDK 7: What the Future Holds for Java

Sang Shin  
Java Technology Architect  
[www.javapassion.com](http://www.javapassion.com)  
Sun Microsystems, Inc.





# Disclaimer

**Things are still subject to change!**



# JDK 7 Features from Sun: Big

- > Modularity
  - JSR 294 + Project Jigsaw
- > Small language changes
  - Project Coin
- > VM Support for dynamic languages
  - Da Vinci Machine project
- > More new IO APIs
  - NIO.2
- > Forward port Java SE6 u10 features
  - Java kernel (Improves download time), Quickstarter (Improves cold start-up time), New plug-in architecture, etc



## Features from Sun: Small

- > SCTP (Stream Control Transmission Protocol)
- > SDP (Socket Direct Protocol)
  - Reliable, high-performance network streams over Infiniband connections on Solaris
- > Unicode 5.1
- > Swing updates
  - JXLayer, DatePicker, possibly CSS styling



## Features from Sun: Fast

- > Garbage-First GC (G1)
  - Lower and predictable pause time
- > Compressed 64-bit pointers
  - A technique for compressing 64-bit pointers to fit into 32 bits
- > Yet more HotSpot runtime compiler enhancements



# Features from Others

- > XRender pipeline for Java 2D
  - A new Java2D graphics pipeline based upon the X11 XRender extension
- > Annotations on Java types (JSR 308)
  - Permit annotations on any occurrence of a type
- > Concurrency and collections updates (JSR 166y)
  - Fork/join framework



# Modularity

JSR 294 + Project Jigsaw



# Size matters

## > JDK is big, really big

- JDK 1.x – 7 top level packages, 200 classes
- JDK 7 – too many top level packages, over 4000 classes
- About 13MB today

## > Historical baggage

- Built as a monolithic software system – you cannot use a subset of it
- Implementation code very interconnected



# Problems of big, monolithic JDK

## > Code modularity

- JAR files don't scale — “JAR/classpath hell”
  - No dependence
  - No versioning
  - No encapsulation of internal interfaces
  - No well-defined relationship to native packaging systems



## > Platform scalability

- SE doesn't scale down from the server/desktop
- ME code doesn't run on SE

## > Performance

- Download time, start-up time, memory footprint
  - Of the JRE itself, and of applications



## Interim Solution – use JDK 6u10

- > Kernel installer, quick startup feature
- > More like a painkiller, not the antidote



# Solution: The Modular Java Platform

***Modularize the platform — and applications too!***

- > Enables escape from “JAR/Classpath hell”
  - Eliminate the class path — once and for all!
  - Easily generate sensible rpm/deb/svr4/ips native packages
- > Enables platform scalability
  - Well-specified SE subsets can fit into small devices
  - ME applications can run on SE (subsets)
- > Enables significant performance improvements
  - Less work to start up
  - Incremental download of modules on demand
  - Pre-optimization of module content during install



# Modularity:

**Code Modularity,**  
Platform Scalability,  
Performance



# Code Modularity Requirements

## > Dependency

- Clear about what other code it depends on
- By explicitly listing its dependency on other code

## > Versioning

- Able to evolve without breaking clients
- By explicitly versioning itself and its dependencies

## > Encapsulation

- Able to hide its internals from other modules
- By explicitly making those classes 'module-private'



# JSR 294 and Project Jigsaw

- > JSR 294
  - Language and VM changes to support module systems
- > Jigsaw
  - A module system for JDK7
  - Module systems like Jigsaw & OSGi use language/VM features from 294
- > OpenJDK Project Jigsaw
  - Hosts the reference implementation of JSR 294
  - Hosts the design and implementation of the Jigsaw module system



# JSR 294 and Project Jigsaw (and OSGi)


- > Why JDK 7 does not use OSGi as the module system of JDK 7?
  - The modularity for Java platform should be well integrated with the language and the VM
  - OSGi (at least current version of it) is built on the top of current VM
  - Jigsaw has a focused goal of modulating JDK, thus has narrower goal than OSGi
  
- > What is the relationship between JSR 294 and OSGi?
  - JSR 294 defines modularity support in the language and VM
    - OSGi Alliance (Peter Kriens) is a member of JSR 294 expert group
  - Future version of OSGi is highly likely built over JSR 294



# Modularizing Your Code

```
planetjdk/src/
```

```
org/planetjdk/aggregator/Main.java
```




```
planetjdk/src/
```

```
org/planetjdk/aggregator/Main.java
```

```
module-info.java
```



# module-info.java





# Compiling Modules

```
javac -modulepath planetjdk/src:rssutils/rome  
      org.planetjdk.aggregator/module-info.java  
      org.planetjdk.aggregator/org/...  
      com.sun.syndication/module-info.java  
      com.sun.syndication/com/...
```

- > Infers classes in `org.planetjdk.aggregator/*` belongs to module `org.planetjdk.aggregator`
  - From `module-info.java`



# Running Modules

```
java -modulepath planetjdk/cls:rssutils/rome  
-m org.planetjdk.aggregator
```

- > Launcher will look for `org.planetjdk.aggregator` from `modulepath` and executes it
  - Assuming that module is a root module



# “provides”

- > In Jigsaw, a module can have aliases:

```
module jdk.core {  
    system jigsaw;  
    provides module java-base;  
}
```

- > This module satisfies `requires module java-base` in another module



# Virtual module support with “provides”



EXPERIMENTAL

```
com.foo.app @ 1.0
```

```
java @ 1.7.0
```

```
jdk @ 1.7.0
```

```
// app/module-info.java  
module com.foo.app @ 1.0;  
requires jdk @ 1.7.0;  
requires java @ 1.7.0;
```

```
// jdk/module-info.java  
module jdk @ 1.7.0;  
provides java @ 1.7.0;
```



# Virtual module support with “provides”



EXPERIMENTAL

```
com.foo.app @ 1.0
```

```
java @ 1.7.0
```

```
jdk @ 1.7.0
```

```
jrockit @ 1.7.0
```

```
ibm-jre @ 1.7.0
```

```
// app/module-info.java  
module com.foo.app @ 1.0;  
requires jdk @ 1.7.0;  
requires java @ 1.7.0;
```


```
// jdk/module-info.java  
module jdk @ 1.7.0;  
provides java @ 1.7.0;
```



# Virtual modules: Native packaging



EXPERIMENTAL





# Module Private Accessibility

- > Sharing types and members across packages but within a module

```
public class Foo {  
    module String m() { ... }  
}
```

- > Class in the same module with **Foo** can access **Foo.m**
  - Meta data is stored in class files and is enforce by the VM



# Module Versioning

```
module org.planetjtk.aggregator @ 1.0 {  
    system jigsaw;  
    requires module jdom @ 1.*;  
    requires module tagsoup @ 1.2.*;  
    requires module rome @ =1.0;  
    requires module rome-fetcher @ =1.0;  
    requires module joda-time @ [1.6,2.0);  
    requires module java-xml @ 7.*;  
    requires module java-base @ 7.*;  
    class org.planetjtk.aggregator.Main;  
}
```



# Versioning in JSR 294

- > Language + VM know that modules are versioned
- > Version **structure**, **order**, and **ranges** are, however, implementation details of a particular module system

Structure

1.2.3.4.5  
I, II, III, IV, V.. IX, X, L, C, D, M  
R11V16.4M3.2T200906031105

Order

1.2.3.4 > 1.2.3  
1.6:u13 < 1.7:b60 < 1.6:u14

Range

[1.0, 2.0)      1.\*      1.\*\1.3  
1.0+            >=1.0      1.0



# Native Packaging

- > Build native packaging from module information
- > New tool – jpkg

```
javac -modulepath planetjdk/src:rssutils/rome  
      -d build/modules org.planetjdk.aggregator/module-info.java  
      org.planetjdk.aggregator/org/...
```

```
jpkg -L planetjdk/cls:rssutils/rome -m build/modules  
     deb -c aggregator
```

```
sudo dpkg -i org.planetjdk.aggregator_1.0_all.deb  
/usr/bin/aggregator
```



# Modularity:

Code Modularity,  
**Platform Scalability,**  
Performance



# Three Java platforms

`com.baz.phoneapp`



`cldc @ 1.1`

`com.bar.tvapp`



`cdc @ 1.1`


`com.foo.app`



`java @ 1.7.0`



# One Java platform, one code base!





# Modularity:

Code Modularity,  
Platform Scalability,  
**Performance**



# Performance

## > Download time

- No need to download an entire application all at once
  - Only need its initial modules to get started
- No need to download the entire JRE all at once
  - Only need the modules initially required by the application

## > Startup time

- Module installation is an opportunity for pre-optimization
  - Pre-initialize static data, compile to native code, *etc.*

## > Memory footprint

- Modularizing the JDK will massively reduce coupling
  - Fewer classes to load, less state to initialize



**Small  
(Language)  
Changes  
Project Coin**



# Better Integer Literals

- > Binary literals

```
int mask = 0b1010;
```

- > With underscores

```
int bigMask = 0b1010_0101;  
long big = 9_223_783_036_967_937L;
```

- > Unsigned literals

```
byte b = 0xffu;
```



# Better Type Inference

```
Map<String, Integer> foo =  
    new HashMap<String, Integer>();
```

```
Map<String, Integer> foo =  
    new HashMap<>();
```



# Strings in Switch

- > Strings are constants too

```
String s = ...;  
switch (s) {  
    case "foo":  
        return 1;  
  
    case "bar":  
        Return 2;  
  
    default:  
        return 0;  
}
```



# Resource Management: pre-JDK7

> Manually closing resources is tricky and tedious

```
public void copy(String src, String dest) throws IOException {
    InputStream in = new FileInputStream(src);
    try {
        OutputStream out = new FileOutputStream(dest);
        try {
            byte[] buf = new byte[8 * 1024];
            int n;
            while ((n = in.read(buf)) >= 0)
                out.write(buf, 0, n);
        } finally {
            out.close();
        }
    } finally {
        in.close();
    }
}
```



# Automatic Resource Management

```
static void copy(String src, String dest) throws IOException {  
    try (InputStream in = new FileInputStream(src);  
        OutputStream out = new FileOutputStream(dest)) {  
        byte[] buf = new byte[8192];  
        int n;  
        while ((n = in.read(buf)) >= 0)  
            out.write(buf, 0, n);  
    }  
    //in and out closes  
}
```



# Closable Interface

> Implemented by all auto close resources

```
package java.lang.auto;
/**
 * A resource that must be closed
 * when it is no longer needed.
 */
public interface AutoCloseable {
    void close() throws Exception;
}

package java.io;
public interface Closeable extends AutoCloseable {
    void close() throws IOException;
}
```



# Index Syntax for Lists and Maps

```
List<String> list =  
    Arrays.asList(new String[] {"a", "b", "c"});  
String firstElement = list[0];    // JDK 7
```

```
Map<Integer, String> map = new HashMap<>();  
map[Integer.valueOf(1)] = "One";    // JDK 7
```



# Dynamic Languages Support

Da Vinci Machine Project




# JVM Specification, First Edition (1997)

- "The Java Virtual Machine knows nothing about the Java programming language, only of a particular binary format, the class file format."
- "A class file contains Java Virtual Machine instructions (or bytecodes) and a symbol table, as well as other ancillary information."
- "Any language with functionality that can be expressed in terms of a valid class file can be hosted by the Java virtual machine."
- "Attracted by a generally available, machine-independent platform, implementors of other languages are turning to the Java Virtual Machine as a delivery vehicle for their languages."
- "In the future, we will consider bounded extensions to the Java Virtual Machine to provide better support for other languages."




# Languages on the Java Virtual Machine





# The Great Ruby Shootout 2008



<http://antoniocangiano.com/2008/12/09/the-great-ruby-shootout-december-2008/>



# Pain Points for Dynamic Languages

- > The current JVM is designed for static typed language
- > Biggest mismatch between current JVM and dynamic languages is method selection and invocation
  - Calling a method is cheap; selecting the right method is expensive
  - Static languages do most of their method selection at compile-time
  - Dynamic languages do almost none at compile-time (obviously) instead during runtime
- > What is the one change in the JVM that would make life better for dynamic languages?
  - Flexible method calls!



# JSR 292 (Support for Dynamically Typed Languages in the Java Virtual Machine)

- > Introduced a new bytecode called “*invokedynamic*” and new linkage mechanism called Method Handle
  - Dynamic language compiler designers no longer have to do backdoor work
- > Enables even higher performance of the applications written in dynamic language
- > Da Vinci Machine Project, an OpenJDK community provides the implementation



# NIO.2





# java.io.File problems

- > Many methods return just booleans
  - Don't know why something failed
- > No copy / move support
- > No symbolic link support
- > No change notification support
- > Limited support for file attributes
- > Not extensible



# NIO.2 Features

- > Methods throw exceptions!
- > Path operations (relative etc.)
- > File operations (copy, check access, symlink)
- > Directories (iterate)
- > Recursive operations
- > File change notification
- > File attributes (NFSv4 ACL, Posix)
- > Provider interface



# NIO.2 Classes

## > FileRef

- Reference to a file

## > Path

- Locates a file using a system dependent path

## > FileSystem

- Provides interface to file system
- Factory for objects to access files and other objects in the file system.
- Default file system for local/platform file system

## > FileStore

- Underlying storage system



# Using Path Class

```
import java.nio.file.*;

// FileSystems -> FileSystem -> Path
FileSystem fileSystem = FileSystems.getDefault();
Path homeDir = fileSystem.getPath("/Users/amiller");

// Shortcut with Paths helper class
Path homeDir = Paths.get("/Users/amiller");

// Resolve one path in terms of another
Path relativeTemp = Paths.get("temp");
Path absoluteTemp = relativeTemp.resolve(homeDir);

// Get relative path from a base
Path absoluteProfile = Paths.get("/Users/amiller/.profile");
Path relativeProfile = absoluteProfile.relativeTo(homeDir);
assert relativeProfile.isRelative();
assert relativeProfile.getNameCount() == 1;
```



# Appending to a file

```
import java.io.*;
import java.nio.file.*;
import static java.nio.file.StandardOpenOption.*;

Path journal = Paths.get("/some/path/journal.txt");

OutputStream stream =
    journal.newOutputStream(CREATE, APPEND);

try {
    writeEntry(stream); // normal stuff
} finally {
    stream.close();
}
```



# Copying and Moving

```
import java.nio.file.*;


Path home = Paths.get("/Users/amiller");
Path secrets = home.resolve("secrets.txt");

// Steal secrets
secrets.copyTo(home.resolve("stolenSecrets.txt"));

// Hide secrets
secrets.moveTo(Paths.get("/Users/dvader/secrets.txt"));
```



# *FileSystem* class as a factory





# File Change Notification

- > Improve performance of applications that are forced to poll the file system today
- > *WatchService*
  - Watch registered objects for events and changes
  - Makes use of native event facility where available
  - Supports concurrent processing of events
- > *Path* implements *Watchable*
  - Register directory to get events when entries are created, deleted, or modified



# Demo:

## Building & Running NIO.2 Sample Apps from JDK 7 m4





# Annotations on Java Types (JSR 308)





# What are Type Annotations?

- > The current annotation syntax is useful but limited
  - Allowed only on class/method/field/variable declarations
- > The Type Annotations syntax permits annotations to be written in more places
  - List<**@NonNull** Object>
- > Programmers can use type annotations to write more informative types, and then tools such as type-checkers can detect and prevent more errors.



# Examples of Type Annotations

- > // generic type arguments:
  - Map<@NonNull String, @NonEmpty List<@ReadOnly Document>> files;
  
- > // arrays:
  - Document[@ReadOnly] docs1;
  
- > // type tests:
  - boolean isNonNull = myString instanceof @NonNull String;




# Roadmap, What will not be in JDK 7





# Java Platform Roadmap at a Glance





# What Will Not Be in JDK7

<http://openjdk.java.net/projects/jdk7/features/>

- > Closures
- > Small Language changes that were proposed
  - Improved exception handling (safe rethrow, multi-catch)
  - Elvis and other null-safe operators
  - Large arrays
- > Other language features
  - First class properties
  - Operator overloading
- > JSR-295 Beans binding
- > JSR-277 Java Module System
- > JSR-296 Swing Application Framework



**Thank You!**

